

Interactive comment on “Aerodynamic Performance of the NREL S826 Airfoil in Icing Conditions” by Julie Krøgenes et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 28 November 2017

Dear Editor and authors.

In my opinion the manuscript requires major revision as well as complementary work to be considered for publication.

I propose a complementary analysis that you could include in your paper to enhance the scientific/technical relevance of your work.

I have proposed to reconsider the paper after major revisions because I think that if you include the additional analysis that I suggest the resulting piece of research can be more interesting for the wind energy community.

Please see the attached pdf file.

C1

regards

Please also note the supplement to this comment:
<https://www.wind-energ-sci-discuss.net/wes-2017-39/wes-2017-39-RC2-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Wind Energ. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-2017-39>, 2017.

C2