

Interactive comment on “Aerodynamic Performance of the NREL S826 Airfoil in Icing Conditions” by Julie Krøgenes et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 28 November 2017

Dear Editor and authors.

In my opinion the manuscript requires major revision as well as complementary work to be considered for publication.

I propose a complementary analysis that you could include in your paper to enhance the scientific/technical relevance of your work.

I have proposed to reconsider the paper after major revisions because I think that if you include the additional analysis that I suggest the resulting piece of research can be more interesting for the wind energy community.

Please see the attached pdf file.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



regards

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://www.wind-energ-sci-discuss.net/wes-2017-39/wes-2017-39-RC2-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Wind Energ. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-2017-39>, 2017.

WESD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

