Wind Energ. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-2018-14-SC1, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Interactive comment on "From standard wind measurements to spectral characterization: turbulence length scale and distribution" by Mark Kelly

A. Peña

aldi@dtu.dk

Received and published: 17 May 2018

Dear Mark,

Thanks for a very interesting paper. It is indeed extremely convenient to have a parametrization for the Mann length scale that is based on commonly measured parameters. Here three short comments on your manuscript:

1. My previous work both in the citations and in the references should be Peña, A and not Peña Diaz, A. I think you have two references (and the corresponding citations) with that issue.

C1

- 2. In Peña et al. (2010) we did not explicitly suggest a parametrization for the Mann length scale but we relate it to the length scale of the wind profile as you point out. Your work suggests $L_{MM} \approx \sigma_U/dU/dz$ which roughly means that $L_{MM} \approx z$ in the surface layer (if the approximation $\sigma_U = u_*/\kappa$ is used), whereas our relation $L_{MM} \approx 1.7\ell$ roughly means $L_{MM} \approx 0.68z$. The latter is also in accordance with the work of Chougule et al. (2014) from measurements at Høvsøre and at Ryningsnäs.
- 3. So what is the reason for the differences between Peña et al. (2010)/Chougule et al. (2014) and your results? Could it be the way the velocity spectra was analyzed (you seem to extract the Mann parameters from each individual 10-min record whereas Peña et al. (2010)/Chougule et al. (2014) ensemble average spectra for different turbulence conditions)? What is the uncertainty of the fit when performed on each 10-min case?

Best regards,	
Alfredo	
Interactive comment on Wind Energ. Sci.	. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-2018-14, 2018.