

Interactive comment on “Scale-adaptive simulation of wind turbines, and its verification with respect to wind tunnel measurements” by Jiangan Wang et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 24 September 2018

Dear authors,

thank you very much for the interesting paper. As a reviewer I have quite some remarks, although most of them are not so severe. On the other hand some of the questions I have are really so severe, that I do insist on having them clarified before publishing:

1. In the literature in the introduction: Of course there are also models in between quick “analytical” models, like the wake meandering model and LES. E. g. there also has been quite some research on RANS simulations.

2. Also there has been a lot more research done on LES of wakes with a lot of different codes (like in Leuven, DTU or Oldenburg).

C1

3. I believe it is “an LES code” not “a LES code”, because you pronounce the “L” like “Al”.

4. You cite papers which are still under review. This is of course hard to judge, since it is unclear, if these papers are scientifically profound. I don’t now how to deal with it. Are they accepted yet?

5. Please also discuss critical points on the SAS model: Is it able to capture anisotropic flows? How about rotating flows?

6. In line 120: From which experiments were the parameters taken? What were the values you used?

7. In equation 3 please define all parameters also specify, if C_k is an SAS parameter, which value you used there.

8. In line 151, what is a complete digital copy? Is that possible? This would mean to also copy all boundary conditions exactly (roughnesses etc.). Also a question would be: Is there an influence by the wind turbine on the incoming flow without turbine – or this way: Has the induction of the turbine an influence on the incoming flow and its turbulence? If so, then the assumption to use the same turbulent inflow for different simulations is an approximation.

9. In lines 165-166: In which boundary layer you used a y^+ of 50? Is it on the wind tunnel walls? Is it on the spires?

10. In general, the paper does not give a good view on the setup. What does the wind tunnel look like? How did you mesh it? If this has been described in a different paper, which is also still under review and might never be published, how shall I deal with this? I would propose to include more of the description of tunnel and the mesh also of the precursor run into this paper.

11. In lines 177-180 you mention, that the low turbulence cases are simulated from data coming from a LiDAR measurement by prescribing a time constant velocity. This

C2

is a bit unclear to me: The LiDAR is a scanning device. It gives you different velocities (or rather components of the velocities) at different points in time and space. You did you prescribe a time constant velocity there?

12. In line 187 you mention, that your 3.6D domain would avoid blockage effects. Now I know a lot of simulations with a wider domain. How can you be sure, you don't have any blockage effects?

13. While the SAS model has been discussed a bit, the LES model remains rather unclear: Which LES-SGS model did you use? Which parameters did you use in it?

14. For the LES case: Did you do a grid refinement study? Maybe a coarser mesh in the LES case would also give you good results? How do you know, this is not the case?

15. The sentence line 203-205 is somehow strange . . .

16. Did you test iLES – so without SGS-model?

17. In 3.2.2 how did you use T? Did you take thermal effects into account? Was T non homogeneous and why?

18. If you change the boundary conditions on the tower due to turbulence: What is the effect on the results if you turn from non-slip to slip?

19. In 3.2.4 you specify the LES model, however this should be discussed with the turbulence modelling together with the SAS model,

20. Why did you put $C_s=0.13$?

21. Why did you use 2.5 for epsilon in the AL?

22. Why did you use $F_{sas} = 2$?

23. paragraph from line 268 to 274: Now, if the wake is not much influenced by the loads, is it much of a surprise, that different turbulence models (in the LES framework)

C3

do not show much difference?

24. In chapter 5 you also do compare to k-omega SST (RANS), now, what was the grid there? What was the computational speed? What was the time stepping?

25. The power output predicted by the SST model is only a little bit worse than the one of the SAS model. So what is the advantage using SAS in terms of power prediction?

26. In figure 2 – well this looks very strange! It looks like you used a 3 bladed turbine for LES and a one bladed for SAS and k-omega SST? Is this so? How did you compare then? Is this a fair comparison? Why?

27. You calculated RMSE and TI for certain distances from the turbine. How broad was the field you evaluated for this? 28. The part on the symmetry after line 350 is unclear. What is the reason for this phenomenon?

29. In some parts you compare SAS to LES. However the wording sometimes is like "SAS overestimates ...". This assumes, that LES is correct. How do you know? Obviously LES also has some deviations (sometimes even different deviations than SAS).

30. In line 360 on the k-omega SST model (is it RANS or DES k-omega SST??), well, you did not specify the grid resolution, so it is completely unclear why the model results behave in such a way. From figure 2 I would guess, you used only one blade on a far too coarse mesh for the wake. Other simulations using the same model showed better wake properties.

31. From line 392 on you use the terms "left" and "right" for descriptions of the wakes. This is not a good way to describe, since it always needs a definition of left and right from which viewpoint, with a turbine turning in which way? Maybe you can find a more descriptive way of defining the sides?

32. In lines 407 following, what does it show, if you leave away the nacelle and tower? What does this proof? You are a bit unclear there.

C4

33. In the cases of the turbulent inflow: How much does the result depend on the integral length scale of the turbulence? What was the integral length scale – also in comparison to grid size? What was it in the wind tunnel experiment?

34. It would be very helpful if you analyze the power density spectrum of the turbulence once (experiment and LES). How much energy do you lose, if you use a coarser grid? How much is captured by the actual SAS model?

Interactive comment on Wind Energ. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-2018-47>, 2018.