Wind Energ. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-2019-29-SC2, 2019 © Author(s) 2019. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.







Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "Aeroelastic response of a multi-megawatt upwind HAWT based on fluid-structure interaction simulation" by Yasir Shkara et al.

## Annika Länger-Möller

annika.laenger@enercon.de

Received and published: 23 July 2019

Thank you for your fast reply. Please find below the reply to your answers,

- The answers to point 4 and 9 should be added to the paper since they are of major interest.
- Regarding point 6: No, I was thinking about a table containing the numbers which you discuss in the section 3 (maximum of normal forces, maximum of side forces of elastic and non-elastic tower; blade and rotor thrust or torque drop and so



Discussion paper



on.) but especially section 3.2 (oscillation amplitude, mean deformation, vibration frequency, for tower and blades, respectively). It would enable a fast overview about the numbers and simplify the comprehension of your discussion.

- Regarding point 7: then either name them all or change to something like: the most prominent, among others, is the relative motion between the rotor and the tower.
- Regarding point 8: Thank you for the honest answer. Then it is ok for me.
- Please pay also attention to page six, line 24

Interactive comment on Wind Energ. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-2019-29, 2019.

## WESD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

