

Response to Associate Editor's Review

Thanks for your comments which are spot on and very helpful.

Although revisions were "minor" in the sense that nothing has changed in the essential analytical work of the paper, I felt the best response was to have another go at the introduction section. New additions and edits are not that many but text has been moved around and replaced in a way that I hope better meets your criticisms.

I have deleted the bullets points that were out of place at start of introduction and replaced with a sentence at the end. I have included the references you suggested and feel stupid to have missed them!. Pity that Chris had not published a paper on his optimisations as after your reminder I recall discussions with him at the WESC of 2017.