

Thank you for addressing most of my comments from the previous review. Please find below additional minor suggestions.

*Note: references to page and lines in this list uses the marked-up version of the paper.*

1. P.2 l.19: avoid repetition of “site”.
2. P.2 l.28: I still insist that it is important to emphasize the outcome of the comparison between observations and WRF, to highlight the limits of the current WRF representation of the flow in complex terrain, and emphasize in turns the importance of the observational analysis you are presenting.
3. Section 3 needs references in several places in the part that was added in this revision.
4. P.12 l.6: the sentence starting with “However” is not clear. Same for the last sentence of the page, starting with “This”.
5. P. 12 l.7: “in” instead of “is”.
6. P. 19 l.3: some grammar errors in this sentence starting with “Secondly”, please rephrase. Also, the following sentence is not clear: what do you mean by “same”, what can you consider as sign of the wind speed vector? Please clarify.
7. P.19 l.6: ‘exact opposite’ instead of ‘direct opposite’?
8. P. 19 l.8: please add commas to make the sentence easier to follow.