

Reply to editor

We are thankful for the careful and precise review of our work and the numerous suggestions for improvements. We completely agree with what we believed was the overall message of your comments: the work is good, but the presentation needs to be improved on the aspects of language, organisation, structure and positioning with respect to the scientific literature (our paraphrasing). We have therefore thoroughly rewritten and restructured the paper in the light of your comments.

We believe we have addressed almost all of both your general and your specific remarks. Here and there, we have taken the liberty to keep our original formulations, because after careful considerations we decided that we preferred those over suggested improvements. An example of this is the choice to keep suggestions for future research throughout the conclusions section and not bundle them into a separate paragraph. The reason is that we feel that this way of presenting makes them more 'naturally' connected to specific findings the recommendations originate from.