

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>william erdman (Referee)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><a href="mailto:bill.erdman52@gmail.com">bill.erdman52@gmail.com</a></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Received and published: 12 January 2021</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Edited by Montri Luengchavanon and the team</b></p>
<b>Comments</b>	
<p>There are a couple of dubious statements that could be removed without injuring the technical aspects of the paper and which would add some credibility to the authors.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Sentence at line 46, beginning with "Presently," is one example.</li> <li>- The sentence at line 32 beginning with ""In utilizing future....," is another example.</li> </ul> <p>Both statements will generate a lot of debate and neither topic should be considered settled. Likely, these topics will be settled in a direction opposite what the authors claim.</p>	<p>This article have deleted the sentence at line 32 as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In utilizing future offshore wind energy, the vertical axis wind turbine (VAWT) is expected to perform better than the horizontal axis wind turbine (HAWT). This is because the VAWT has a lower center of gravity and simple mechanical structure, which is easy to fabricate, and requires low maintenance. Moreover, the VAWT has a high potential to be scaled to a number of different sizes (Paquette and Barone, 2012).</li> </ul> <p>For protection the generated a lot of debate between both statements.</p>