Computational Analysis of High Lift Generating Airfoils for Diffuser Augmented Wind Turbines
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Abstract. The impetus towards sustainable energy production and energy access has led to considerable research and development in decentralized generators, in particular, diffuser augmented wind turbines. This paper aims to characterize the performance of a diffuser augmented wind turbines using high lift airfoils using a three-step computational analysis. The study is based on computational fluid dynamics, and the analysis is carried out by solving the unsteady Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations in two dimensions. The rotor blades are modeled as an actuator disk, across which a pressure drop is imposed analogous to a three-dimensional rotor. We study the change in performance of the enclosed turbine with varying diffuser cross-sectional geometry. In particular, this paper characterizes the effect of a flange on the flow augmentation provided by the diffuser. We conclude that at the end of the three-step analysis, Eppler 423 showed the maximum velocity augmentation.

1 Introduction

Global energy demand is expected to more than double by 2050 owing to the growth in population and development of economies (Gielen et al., 2019). Wind energy is emerging as an alternative renewable source for energy production. Presently, wind turbines are typically installed away from the populated areas because of visual and noise regulations. This necessitates the transfer of electricity via grids over larger distances, which increases the levelized cost of electricity. While large wind turbines are placed where the wind topology is optimum, smaller wind turbines are locally built to supply power to meet the demands.

A conventional horizontal axis wind turbine (HAWT), which is often simplified and modeled as an actuator disk (AD), has the ability to extract 59.3% of power available in the wind - in accordance to the Betz limit. Diffuser augmented wind turbines (DAWTs) have the ability to increase the power extracted by the wind turbine by virtue of: increased mass flow rate through the rotor plane, improved wake mixing with the external flow, and lastly, improved performance even in cases where the flow may not be purely axial in nature.

The idea of a DAWT, also commonly referred to as a ducted wind turbine or shrouded turbine, was first explored by Lilley and Rainbird (Lilly and Rainbird, 1956). Since the early studies, numerous studies based on empirical, computational and experimental approaches have been conducted to investigate and optimize the efficiency of diffuser augmented wind turbines.
through various means. By enclosing a diffuser around the turbine, the wake of the turbine blades is allowed to rapidly expand, resulting in a subsequent drop in pressure aft of the diffuser. This in turn leads to an increase in the mass flow rate of the incoming free stream air, thereby increasing the efficiency of the system beyond the Betz limit. Through wind tunnel testing, Igra (Igra, 1981) found that power coefficient could be improved by 80% of that of a conventional wind turbine just by placing a diffuser over it. Abe and Ohya (Ohya et al., 2008), varied the diffuser open angle by adding a flange around the diffuser exit. The study showed that flanged diffusers, that is, an additional geometric modification to the shroud can cause a larger wake expansion due to unsteady low pressure regions generated by the flange periphery. The mass flow rate is thus further increased by this geometric feature. Although there is a significant amount of literature employing the use of high-fidelity numerical modeling techniques applied to DAWTs, there is no preliminary analysis that may help practitioners and potential manufacturers design diffusers with commonly available airfoil geometries. Although studies such as the ones performed by Alquraishi (Alquraishi et al., 2019) document robust approaches towards tailoring the geometrical characteristics of airfoils using genetic algorithms, the authors highlight a simplified simulation pipeline that may assist designers in assessing the suitability of a pool of airfoils while designing DAWTs or other decentralized wind energy generators.

The use of high-lift airfoils in wind energy applications has been documented extensively in literature. High lift airfoils improve the aerodynamic efficiency ($C_L/C_D$) at low Reynolds number by virtue of a high lift coefficient with minimum drag penalties. Through this study, we investigate the effect of camber, thickness and a flange on high lift airfoil families, and characterize their performance. The turbine is modeled as an two-dimensional AD and a pressure drop is induced across this disk in accordance to Bernoulli’s Theorem. This pressure drop characterizes a change in the velocity field as the flow passes the rotor and energy is subsequently extracted. In the study, we consider a two-dimensional flow field for the analysis, in
accordance to studies conducted by Dighe (Dighe et al., 2018). The separation effects and flow losses from the tips are assumed to be negligible. The numerical analysis has been carried out using the commercially available computational fluid dynamics (CFD) solver ANSYS® Fluent.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the actuator disk modeling and presents the mathematical model used in the study. Section 3 discusses the simulation methodology, and the validation of the computational study.

![Diagram of diffuser shape with parameters highlighted](image)

**Figure 2.** A schematic of the final geometry of the diffuser shape with all the parameters highlighted.

## 2 Actuator Disk Modeling

The AD uses the mass and momentum conservation principles to balance the applied forces as compared to the axial and tangential momentum equations that balance the applied forces on the real rotor blades. Although a two-dimensional simplification may not account for three-dimensional effects such as wake rotation and lateral flow, several studies have validated this approach.

The AD is considered to have an infinitesimal width which exerts a constant thrust $T_{AD}$ per unit surface. The turbine or AD coefficient is given by:

$$C_{T_{AD}} = \frac{T_{AD}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho U_\infty^2 S_{AD}}$$

where, $\rho$ is the fluid density, $U_\infty$ is the free-stream velocity and $S_{AD}$ is the surface area of the AD.

The thrust force $T_{AD}$ force can be written as:
\[ T_{\text{AD}} = S_{\text{AD}}(\Delta p) \]

where \( \Delta p \) is the pressure drop across the AD. \( \delta p \), and ultimately \( C_{T\text{AD}} \) is input for the simulations as a constant, derived from experimental investigations conducted by (Tang et al., 2018). The current experimental configuration involves the consideration of an additional force created by the diffuser, \( T_{\text{Duct}} \). Thus we can define \( C_{T\text{Duct}} \) as:

\[ C_{T\text{Duct}} = \frac{T_{\text{Duct}}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho U_\infty^2 S_{\text{AD}}} \]

The duct force \( F_{\text{Duct}} \) creates a mass flow across the AD plane:

\[ \dot{m} = \rho S_{\text{AD}} U_{\text{AD}} \]

Although a constant coefficient of thrust is assumed, the velocity across the AD is not uniform. The average AD velocity can be found by integrating the free-stream velocity over the defined surface area of the AD:

\[ U_{\text{AVG}} = \frac{1}{S_{\text{AD}}} \int \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} dS \]

Using the above results we can define a power coefficient for the diffuser geometry with an AD of surface area \( S_a \):

\[ C_p = \frac{P}{\frac{1}{2} \rho U_\infty^2 S_{\text{AD}}} = \frac{U_{\text{AVG}}}{U_\infty} C_T \]

Therefore the total thrust force can be represented as a vectorial sum of the AD force \( T_{\text{AD}} \) and duct force \( T_{\text{Duct}} \), given by:

\[ T = T_{\text{AD}} + T_{\text{Duct}} \]

Thus the total thrust coefficient is given by:

\[ C_T = C_{T\text{AD}} + C_{T\text{Duct}} \]

3 Computational Fluid Dynamics Methodology

3.1 Simulation Domain

To conduct the present study, ANSYS\textsuperscript{®} and its constituent modules were used to generate, simulate, visualize and process the results. ICEM CFD\textsuperscript{®}, ANSYS Inc. was used to generate the mesh required, as it offers great control and flexibility over the grid generation process. Figure 3 highlights the computational domain which was chosen as a C-Type topography, as it is easy to generate and minimizes the skewness of the mesh in the near-wall condition. It also has the ability to accurately simulate the flow at various angles of attack. The geometry consists of two-dimensional planar airfoils symmetrically placed about the central axis along with a rotor modeled as an AD. Following the work of Dighe et al. (Dighe et al., 2019) the tip clearance
has been fixed at 2.5% . The free-stream velocity is set as 6 m/s for the present study and the flow is considered to be steady, uniform, incompressible and turbulent for the airfoil chord length. While the simulated conditions are two dimensional, the conditions are sufficient to gain enough insights due to the axisymmetric nature of the flow. For the given Reynolds number, the Y+ was kept well under 1 in order to calculate the wall spacing assisting the meshing process.

Figure 3. The C-Type topography computational grid

To properly model the viscous flows over the various diffuser configurations at turbulent Reynolds numbers, the Navier–Stokes equations are selected in Cartesian coordinate system. The turbulence model used is k-ω Shear Stress Transport (SST) which is expressed as a set of partial differential equations. The k-ω SST, which was developed by Menter (Menter et al., 1994), is a two equation robust model for turbulence growth and is one of the most widely used turbulence models. This is because the SST combines the use of $k - \omega$ in near wall flow and $k - \epsilon$ in free shear flow.

ANSYS Fluent® was used as the flow solver, while CFD Post® and GNU Octave® were used to process the results.

3.2 Simulation Methodology

The present study is to assess the basic aerodynamic performance of high lift airfoils when applied to a DAWT geometry. Figure 4 highlights 12 airfoils that have been chosen from 3 different airfoil families which are Eppler, NACA and Selig. The airfoils were selected based on their lift-drag ratio for the chosen Reynolds number. (Dighe et al., 2018)
**Figure 4.** Selection of high lift airfoils across different families

**Figure 5.** A flowchart that visualizes the simulation methodology and processes
The study was conducted in three stages. In the first stage, all airfoils were fixed at a constant angle of attack of \( \alpha = 0^\circ \) with respect to the horizontal. The angle of attack here corresponds to the area ratio. The area ratio is defined as the ratio of the area of the exit of the diffuser to the area of the AD (\( S_E/S_{AD} \)). The results obtained for each case were compared to the NACA 0012 test case. RANS equations were used in this analysis for maximum simplicity. Based on the results of the first stage of simulations, one airfoil was eliminated from each of the families on the basis of its velocity augmentation (Igra, 1981).

In the second stage, the angle of attack of the airfoils corresponding to their area ratios were varied and the end result was an optimized angle of attack for each of the families. After concluding simulations of the second phase, one airfoil from each family was eliminated based on its velocity augmentation again, leaving 2 best performing airfoils from each family. In the third stage, the six final airfoils were then analyzed at their optimum angles of attack and added with a 15° flange at the trailing edge at 70% of the chord to generate an unsteady low pressure region at the trailing edge which in turn increases the mass flow rate at the AD.

A constant diffuser thrust co-efficient of \( C_T = 0.767 \) (Dighe et al., 2018) is maintained by keeping a constant pressure difference across the AD. Tip clearance has been fixed at 2.5% throughout the study. The effects of varying the tip clearances on the duct performance are beyond the scope of this study.

### 3.3 Grid Validation and Independence Studies

A grid validation was conducted to verify the accuracy of the mesh, while a grid convergence study was conducted to determine the optimum mesh configuration without sacrificing the accuracy of the result.

Igra’s (Igra, 1981) experimental wind tunnel set-up was replicated in the numerical domain, to validate the mesh that was generated. Igra et al carried out numerous experiments during his research on diffuser augmented wind turbines. Of these, their work on experimental set-up of the 'Circular Wing Shrouds' was considered reference to validate our study. Analogous to the experimental set-up, the numerical domain uses the NACA 4412 airfoil which was simulated in a planar diffuser configuration. The angle of attack of the airfoils was fixed at 2° and the area ratio maintained at 1.84 for this configuration. Wall blockages and interferences were ignored for the experimental set-up to avoid elaborate wind tunnel corrections. The inflow velocity was maintained at 6 m/s. The results were analyzed against experimental pressure distributions and forces.(Dighe et al., 2019) The final mesh generated using ICEM CFD tool was akin to Igra’s experimental results thus proving the validity of the mesh.

Three meshes were used with different number of nodes and elements, in order to optimize the mesh in terms of simulation time. All the meshes had NACA 4412 as the airfoil and were simulated under similar conditions with an inlet velocity of 6m/s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid</th>
<th>Number of Elements</th>
<th>Velocity Output (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td>4776</td>
<td>7.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1752919</td>
<td>8.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>457512</td>
<td>8.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The first mesh was coarse, with roughly 4627 nodes and 4776 elements in total and took 2 minutes to converge. As expected, the mesh gave a very poor result with a velocity of 7.82 m/s at the AD. The second mesh was a fine mesh with a total of roughly 174246 nodes and 175291 elements. This mesh took about 10 minutes for the solution to converge and gave a better and a more accurate result with a velocity of 8.67 m/s at the AD. The third mesh was even finer and had a total of 456031 nodes and 457512 mesh elements. This mesh took about 22 minutes for the solution to converge and gave a velocity of 8.76 m/s at the AD. The finest mesh differed by a 0.98% from the medium quality mesh. Thus the medium quality mesh with 174246 nodes and 175291 elements was chosen, as it was accurate with an added advantage of reduced computational time and power.

4 Results and Discussion

The following sections highlights the results of all the stages of the analysis. The airfoils were tested for different geometrical modifications and their aerodynamic performance. The under performing airfoils were removed from the rest of the analysis. The airfoils were evaluated at a constant diffuser thrust coefficient value $C_T = 0.767$.

![Figure 6. Effect of camber and thickness of the diffuser on the normalized velocity at the actuator disk](image)

4.1 Stage 1: Constant $\alpha$

All the simulations for the first stage were performed with an angle of attack $\alpha = 0^\circ$ to asses the basic aerodynamic performance of the airfoils. Figure 6 expresses the variations of the camber, thickness and diffuser velocities of the various airfoils, which are maintained at $\alpha = 0^\circ$. The camber (mc) and thickness (t) are represented as ratios while the velocity at the AD has been normalized with respect to the free-stream velocity of 6 m/s. The camber ratio is defined as the maximum camber percentage to location of maximum camber on the chord expressed as a percentage. The thickness ratio is defined as the maximum thickness
percentage of the airfoil to the position on the chord at which the thickness is maximum. To assess the graph, the velocity has been presented with a colour chart. The colours represent the performance of the airfoils compared to the base case. From the results of the graph, three under performing airfoils, one from each family was eliminated. The airfoils that were eliminated were Selig S1221 with a \( mc = 0.0997 \), \( t = 0.555 \) and with a normalized velocity of 0.8863, Eppler E222 with a \( mc = 0.0379 \), \( t = 0.3279 \) and with a normalized velocity of 0.7616 and lastly NACA 63(2)-615 with a \( mc = 0.1 \), \( t = 0.4 \) and with a normalized velocity of 0.7885. Looking at Figure 6 it is clear that camber plays a crucial role in velocity augmentation, even among high lift airfoils, while the effect of thickness is not so pronounced. This can be attributed to the effect of the curvature of the airfoil on the boundary layer. The boundary layer is subject to both curvature and a pressure gradient. For the convex surface of the curvature the angular momentum of the flow increases with an increase in curvature. As per the Rayleigh criterion the increase in angular momentum causes a stabilizing effect on the flow resulting in lower skin friction coefficient. Thus the direct effect of camber can be seen in higher velocity augmentation at the AD resulting in a higher \( C_p \) as per the classical definition of the power coefficient. This also highlights the strong correlation between the camber and the velocity augmentation at the AD, similar to previous studies done in DAWT.

4.2 Stage 2: Varying \( \alpha \) to find the optimum angle for maximum velocity augmentation

For the second stage analysis, the best performing airfoils were taken from the results of stage one which were based on the velocity augmentation at the AD. As per the next step in the simulation methodology, their angles of attack and in doing so the area ratios were varied, keeping the \( C_T = 0.767 \). The angles of attack were varied from \( \alpha = 0^\circ \) to \( \alpha = 12^\circ \) in steps of 4\(^\circ\), and subsequently by 1\(^\circ\) till \( \alpha = 20^\circ \). For the initial variations of up to 12\(^\circ\), the flow remained attached to the surface of the airfoil. As the angle of attack was increased, an upward trend was noted in the velocity at the AD. This is a consequence of an increase in the mass flux of the wind as a result of the changing area ratios. Beyond a certain angle of attack and area ratio there was flow separation that was observed on the pressure side of the airfoil, which was found to be detrimental to the velocity augmentation of the airfoil. Thus, there was an optimum angle of attack and area ratio where there was maximum velocity augmentation. Based on the results of the simulation the optimum angle for Eppler, NACA and Selig was found to be \( \alpha = 15^\circ \), \( \alpha = 14^\circ \) and \( \alpha = 18^\circ \) respectively. Based on the criteria of velocity augmentation at the AD, the study was taken forward by eliminating the NACA 64A410, S1221 and E1210 airfoils which registered the least velocity augmentation in stage two.

4.3 Stage 3: Effects of a Flange

A third and final stage was conducted by adding a flange at 70% of the airfoil chord at an open angle of 15\(^\circ\), as per the study conducted by El-Zahaby et al (El-Zahaby et al., 2016). Figure 2 highlights the final geometry of the diffuser shape along with the various parameters that are at play. It was observed that there was a significant increase in the velocity at the AD after the addition of the flanges. This velocity increase can be attributed to a reduction in pressure due to vortices that are generated due to the effects of the flange and the diffuser shape. These vortices produce a region of unsteady low pressure which increases the mass flux of wind at the AD. Figure 7 consists of the velocity contours of the final set of airfoils a) NACA 2411, b) NACA
4412, c) Eppler 59, d) Eppler 423, e) Selig 1210, f) Selig 1223. The vortices are easily visualized in Figure 7 along with the flow separation due to flange.

Figure 7. Velocity contours of the streamwise normalized velocity. The results depict performance of the stage three airfoils at $C_T = 0.767$

As per the classical definition of the power coefficient, the $C_P$ is affected by the velocity of the flow at the AD. The power co-efficient is an important parameter that is used to determine the diffuser performance. Figure 8 is used to visualized the effect of the thickness ratio and camber ratio on the $C_P$ in a 3D space. To assess the graph, the $C_P$ has been presented with a colour chart. NACA4412, S1223 and E423 are the best performing airfoils from each of the respective families in terms of velocity augmentation and $C_P$, with a velocity output of 9.216928 m/s, 9.410147 m/s and 9.432198 m/s respectively. Overall it was found that Eppler 423 showed the maximum velocity augmentation and $C_P$ among all the 12 airfoil geometries that were considered.

For the best performing airfoil, the thrust coefficient $C_T$ was varied and the resulting velocity at the AD was normalized with the free-stream velocity. Figure 9 shows an almost linear relation between the parameters. Increasing the $C_T$ results in a reduction of the velocity augmentation, this phenomenon can be compared to increasing the blockage to the flow by virtue of an increase in resistance. This is in agreement with other work performed in DAWT and DAWT theories. The current simulations are performed with a moderate value of $C_T$. The exact effects of the $C_T$ and tip clearance are out of the present scope of the study, but can be the subject matter of another study.
Figure 8. Effect of camber and thickness of the diffuser on the $C_P$ for the airfoils in the third stage

Figure 9. The result depicts the effect of varying the $C_T$ on the normalized velocity at the actuator disk
5 Conclusions

In the present study, the aerodynamic performance of DAWT using high lift airfoils was studied using an AD model. The study was performed using RANS simulations, the results of which are presented. Based on the previous studies, different diffuser geometries of 12 high lift airfoils was considered. A validation study was conducted to compare the numerical results to existing data and its results are reported. The diffusers, made up of the 12 airfoils were subject to evaluation based on three different stages. In the first stage the area ratio was kept constant by maintaining the $\alpha = 0^\circ$. Based on the velocity augmentation, the best performing airfoils were tested by varying their area ratios and their corresponding angle of angles of attack in stage two. An optimum angle of attack was found at the end of stage two. A final third stage was performed by adding a flange of $15^\circ$ to the airfoils. Based on the results of velocity augmentation and $C_T$, it was concluded that E423 was the best performing airfoil.

The detailed effects of tip clearance and $C_T$ on the effects of diffuser performance can be a subject of future studies.
Nomenclature

\( \alpha \)  Angle of attack of the airfoil \( [^\circ] \)

\( \rho \)  Density of air \( [kg/m^3] \)

\( AD \)  Actuator Disk

\( c \)  Diffuser chord length [m]

\( C_p \)  Power coefficient of the AD [-]

\( C_{TAD} \)  Thrust coefficient of the AD [-]

\( C_{TDuct} \)  Thrust coefficient of the Duct [-]

\( C_T \)  Total thrust coefficient of the AD model [-]

\( DAWT \)  Diffuser Augmented Wind Turbine

\( HAWT \)  Horizontal Axis Wind Turbine

\( mc \)  Camber ratio [-]

\( S_{AD} \)  Reference area of the AD \( [m^2] \)

\( S_E \)  Area of the exit of the diffuser \( [m^2] \)

\( T \)  Total thrust force of the diffuser \( [N] \)

\( t \)  Thickness ratio [-]

\( T_{AD} \)  Thrust force on the AD \( [N] \)

\( T_{Duct} \)  Thrust force on the diffuser \( [N] \)

\( U_{AD} \)  Velocity at the AD plane \( [m/s] \)

\( U_{AVG} \)  Average velocity at the AD plane \( [m/s] \)

\( U_\infty \)  Free-stream velocity \( [m/s] \)

\( x \)  Variable value vector parallel to the free-stream direction [-]
$y$ Variable value vector normal to the free-stream direction [-]
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