

Interactive comment on “Set-point optimization in wind farms to mitigate effects of flow blockage induced by gravity waves” by Luca Lanzilao and Johan Meyers

Alan Wai Hou Lio (Referee)

wali@dtu.dk

Received and published: 15 June 2020

The paper is interesting. The authors investigated the problems of the mesoscale interaction between a wind farm and atmospheric boundary-layer. A three-layer model is proposed for modelling the wind-farm induced gravity wave. Based on the simplified model, optimisation is then proposed to find the optimal thrust coefficient distributions that maximise the wind farm power output. The concept is appealing and the topic is definitely relevant to the wind energy community. However, I found the paper is a bit too long (30 pages) and written for audiences with a strong background in fluid dynamics. As a disclaimer, my background is not in fluid dynamics. Please find my comments as

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



follows.

Comments: pg1: Some claims by the authors were not clear. For example, the optimal thrust coefficient distributions are spatially stationary rather than time-periodic. Did the authors consider turbulent wind inflow and turbine-to-turbine interactions? Does the claim imply that stationary spatial distributions of thrust coefficients are better than dynamically changing the thrust set-points for maximising the wind farm power? This claim disagreed with some of the other works (e.g. [1]). In [1], the benefit of periodic dynamic induction control was shown, where the thrust coefficient of the upstream turbine was periodically adjusted to improve the downstream wind flow. How is this work related to [1]?

pg2 l59: “asses” -> asks.

pg4 l116: C_t is a function of $C_t(x,y,t)$? What is x and y in $B(x,y)$?

pg5 l123: What is the dimension of C_t ? Is C_t a vector where the number of elements in that vector is equal to the number of turbines? How is C_t of each turbine related the aggregate wind farm drag f ?

p5 l124: " the thrust-coefficient distribution C_t has to be interpreted as a perturbation." Is C_t the thrust coefficient or the perturbation to the thrust coefficient?

p5 l151: " The goal of the optimization framework is to find a time-periodic optimal thrust-coefficient distribution". Why did the authors assume that the optimal thrust distribution would be time-periodic in the beginning?

p6: Equation (13), what is φ and J in (13) is not a function of C_t . I suggest the authors swap equation (13) and (14) for clarity.

p7 l183: $\mathcal{N}(\varphi(C_t), C_t) = 0$. Is this only valid around the neighbourhood of the solution? What is \mathcal{N} ?

p11 l268: what is P_N ?

References:

[1] J. Frederik et al., “Periodic dynamic induction control of wind farms: proving the potential in simulations and wind tunnel experiments,” *Wind Energy Sci.*, no. August, pp. 1–18, 2019.

Interactive comment on *Wind Energ. Sci. Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-2020-74>, 2020.

WESD

[Interactive
comment](#)

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

