

Wes 2020-86

Comments to the Author:

The editors comments regarding section 4.2 still need to be sufficiently addressed. The current section 4.2 does not have adequate substantive content to be included - figures on their own are not sufficient for validation, demonstration of novel scientific findings, etc. The authors are encouraged to either provide:

- a minimum quantitative analysis of errors in section 4.2 (apparently they have the LES, since they took the velocity from it??)
- or remove the section
- or shorten, retain only one illustrative figure showing complex terrain and integrate in other section (not as part of results)

We have shortened section 4.2 and included it as part of Section 3. The new section presents only a brief overview of the complex terrain capability and only one figure is used to show the capability.