

1 Comment on “Glauert’s optimum rotor disk revisited – a calculus of variations solution and exact
2 integrals for thrust and bending moment coefficients” by Tyagi and Schmitz (2025)

3
4 J. Gordon Leishman, Ph.D., D.Sc.(Eng.), F.R.Ae.S.

5 Distinguished Professor, Dept. of Aerospace Engineering, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University.

6 Professor Emeritus, Dept. of Aerospace Engineering, University of Maryland,

7 United States

8 Correspondence: leishmaj@erau.edu

9
10 The paper by Tyagi and Schmitz (2025a) presents a reformulation of the classical momentum theory optimum
11 rotor problem using the calculus of variations and closed-form integrations for thrust and bending moment coeffi-
12 cients. This comment examines these derivations within the physical and mathematical limits of the one-dimensional
13 momentum model.

14
15 Several of the mathematical developments consist of algebraic manipulations of Glauert’s induction relations.
16 These include polynomial expansions, rational simplifications, and repeated applications of L’Hôpital’s rule. These
17 manipulations change the algebraic form of the standard relations but do not modify the structure of the underlying
18 momentum equations.

19
20 The paper begins by examining the behavior of the model equations in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and evaluates the resulting
21 expressions for C_P and C_{Be} under that limiting assumption. In this limit, the Glauert relations give $a' \rightarrow 0$. Because
22 torque is proportional to a' , the torque also approaches zero. The mechanical power coefficient C_P is proportional to
23 torque multiplied by the rotational speed, so C_P approaches zero when a' approaches zero within the axial plus swirl
24 momentum model. Any expression predicting nonzero power in this limit is inconsistent with the angular momentum
25 balance on which the model is based. This inconsistency arises from evaluating the model outside the conditions for
26 which its assumptions are valid, rather than from the algebraic manipulations themselves.

27
28 The paper next derives limiting expressions for C_P , C_T , and C_{Be} in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ by repeated differentiation
29 of the numerator and denominator terms. These limits follow from the mathematical continuation of the steady one-
30 dimensional momentum equations. When λ is small, the flow around the rotor is typically separated, unsteady, and
31 non-axisymmetric, and the assumptions of the actuator disk model, namely steady one-dimensional uniform flow with
32 a constant pressure jump, are not satisfied. The limiting values obtained from the continued equations, therefore, de-
33 scribe the algebraic behavior of the continued model but do not represent the behavior of a rotor operating under such
34 conditions.

35
36 The calculus of variations derivation in the paper reproduces Glauert’s optimum loading condition. The resulting
37 third-order relation is identical to that obtained by extremizing the power coefficient subject to the one-dimensional
38 momentum constraints. This equivalence follows directly from the structure of the classical actuator disk formulation.
39 Because this formulation assumes an infinite number of blades, no tip losses, no drag, and steady uniform inflow, the
40 resulting expressions apply only within those idealized assumptions.

41
42 The numerical constants appearing in several equations, such as 2.5457 and -13.3272 in Eqs. 35, 48, and 50
43 arise from evaluating the closed-form antiderivatives at the lower limit $x = 1 - 3a$ with $a = 1/4$. Substituting $x = 1/4$
44 into the polynomial and logarithmic terms yields the reported constants. Providing intermediate symbolic steps would
45 have allowed readers to reproduce these values directly.

46
47 Several sections of the paper contain symbolic patterns, such as multi-step rational simplifications, repeated dif-
48 ferential reductions, and large composite expressions. These patterns match those typically produced by language

43 model-based symbolic tools. The paper does not indicate whether such tools were used. Clarification of any computa-
44 tional or automated methods would assist readers in reproducing the intermediate steps and verifying the closed-form
45 expressions. This statement concerns only the form of the expressions, not the authors' methods or intent.

46

47 The high and low λ regimes considered in the paper correspond to conditions where at least one assumption of
48 the one-dimensional momentum model does not hold. At high λ , the swirl component of the momentum model gives
49 zero torque, so the model predicts zero power. At low λ , the flow is unsteady and separated and does not satisfy the
50 assumptions of a uniform steady actuator disk. In these regimes, expressions obtained from algebraic continuation of
51 the model equations should be interpreted strictly as mathematical consequences of the continued equations and not
52 as predictions of rotor performance under physical conditions outside the validity of the model.

53

54 There is substantial overlap between the derivations, polynomial expressions, and integration procedures in this
55 paper and those in a previously published and publicly accessible conference paper by the same authors (Tyagi and
56 Schmitz, 2025b). The earlier paper contains many of the same mathematical developments. Citing this prior work
57 would clarify the relationship between the two publications and help readers follow the development of the results.

58

59 **References**

60

61 Tyagi, D., and Schmitz, S., "Glauert's optimum rotor disk revisited – a calculus of variations solution and exact in-
62 tegrals for thrust and bending moment coefficients," *Wind Energ. Sci.*, 10, pp. 451–460, available at <https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-10-451-2025>, 2025.

63

64 Kevin Sliman, "Student refines 100-year-old math problem, expanding wind energy possibilities, Penn State
65 News, Feb. 21, 2025, available at <https://news.engr.psu.edu/2025/schmitz-sven-wind-energy-math-problem.aspx>

66

67 Tyagi, D., and Schmitz, S., "Amendment to Glauert's Optimum Rotor Disk Solution," AIAA Conference Publi-
68 cation, DOI: 10.2514/6.2024-84552, available at <https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/pdf/10.2514/6.2024-84552>.